Astro 350 Lecture 30 April 6, 2022

Announcements:

- Discussion due today
- Homework due Friday
- This week only: office hours by appointment (not after class)

Last time:

- effect of matter in the universe
 - \rightarrow weight is fate! density is destiny! *Q*: why?
- measuring the *changes* in expansion rate
 - Q: expected result in "empty" U? U with matter?
 - Q: actual observed result?

н



also last time:

cosmic expansion history and cosmic acceleration

key ideas:

- at any time t, everywhere v(t) = H(t) r(t)
- when looking at galaxies far, far away seeing light emitted a long time ago
- so if measure v (from redshift) and r (from std candle) then can find H(t) = v(t)/r(t) = expansion at past time t!
- even better: can find H(t) for many times t Q: how?

Bottom line:

ω

- \star can measure *change* in expansion rate
- \Rightarrow can measure cosmic deceleration/acceleration

Distant Supernovae: The Verdict

Our actual observed universe: galaxies *slower* in past!



Observed Universe: Accelerating

SN data: H(z) smaller in the past (high z and small t) $\Rightarrow H(z)$ increases with time! $\Rightarrow \ddot{a} > 0$! expansion rate: accelerated! Q: what would this mean in the pop fly analogy?

An Accelerating Universe: Implications

Recall: expected deceleration because ordinary matter (even dark matter!) has gravitational attraction matter-filled universe should have *slowing* expansion → if matter is all there is, U should decelerate

But: found acceleration – exact opposite of intuition

- \rightarrow something present which has gravitational repulsion!
- → Universe seems to contain something having "antigravity"!?! ...and huge amounts of such stuff!
 - enough of it to overwhelm the attraction of ordinary matter!

In more detail: SN Ia: $\ddot{a} > 0$, but Friedmann sez

change in expansion rate $= \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \left(\rho + 3\frac{P}{c^2} \right)$ observation say Universe is accelerating: $\ddot{a} > 0$ this requires $\rho + 3P/c^2 < 0$ but $\rho > 0$: mass/energy are positive so we must have: $P < -3\rho c^2$

the cosmos is filled with substance with large negative pressure ??

Physical "interpretation": Pressure is force per area so F = pressure × area P > 0: outward force (e.g., ideal gas) • expansion reduces pressure P < 0: inward force (e.g., elastic)



expansion increases pressure

Cosmic Expansion History: the Full Story

in fact, observations show that cosmic expansion had two phases

 \star today and in the recent past

expansion is **accelerating** opposite of prediction from matter + General Relativity

\star in the more distant past

at redshifts z > 0.3, times before t < 10 billion years that is, more than about 3 billion years ago expansion was **decelerating**

 \neg agrees with prediction from matter + General Relativity!

Cosmic Acceleration: Who Ordered That?!

ordinary matter and ordinary gravity: attractive gravity acts to draw galaxies together, ⇒ slows outward expansion

should give cosmic **deceleration**

but we observe cosmic **acceleration**! two known options:

 Universe contains something bizarre that pushes objects apart!
 in fact, this repulsion has to be so strong that it overcomes gravity attraction from matter!

00

2. *Q:* what's the other option?

Einstein Overthrown?

cosmic acceleration seen when looking at the Universe over vast distances

result is surprising because our gravity theory = Einstein's General Relativity predicts gravity makes matter attractive

but note: while GR very well tested on Earth and in Solar System not tested on cosmic lengthscales

perhaps acceleration tells us: General Relativity is incomplete/wrong \rightarrow if so, need new gravity theory! *Q: requirements for such a theory*

Improving on Einstein?

if new gravity theory: still have to explain *all* data

so: any new theory has to

- give same answers as GR
 on Earth, solar system scales
- and keep other successful GR features: redshifting, lensing, time dilation
- yet also give different *answers on cosmic scales*

iClicker Poll: The Reason for Cosmic Acceleration

Vote your conscience!

Of these two basic explanations for cosmic acceleration Which do you think is right?

- A General Relativity *correct*, but the Universe contains something bizarre that makes it accelerate
- B General Relativity *incorrect*, and the Universe only contains matter

 $\frac{1}{1}$

Explaining Cosmic Acceleration

Cosmologists are working hard on *both* avenues

- a new cosmic "accelerant": "dark energy"
- alternatives to General Relativity: "modified gravity"

Simplest solution to cosmic acceleration: Einstein "**cosmological constant**" **A** (Greek: Lambda)

originally invented by Einstein (1917):

- "fudge factor" in General Relativity
- invented to prevent cosmic expansion recall: Hubble's law published in 1929 stock market crashing, Universe expanding

cosmo constant Λ changes Newton's gravity force law: mass m at distance r from mass M feels force

$$F_{\text{gravity}} = -\frac{GMm}{r^2} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda mr \tag{1}$$

Q: what if $\Lambda = 0$ and M = 0? what does this mean?

Q: what do we get if $\Lambda = 0$ but M > 0? why the – sign? what happens to particle released from rest?

Q: what if M = 0 and $\Lambda > 0$?

what happens to particle released from rest?

Q: Λ invented to prevent cosmic expansion-how?

In cosmological context, Λ changes acceleration to

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\rho + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\tag{2}$$

can "fine-tune" Λ to prevent expansion/collapse by
 exactly compensating for normal gravity
 and make the universe not accelerate or decelerate
 Q: how? what fine tuning needed?

Einstein did this but: after Hubble sees expansion in 1929, Al allegedly sez Λ his "greatest blunder"

Q: what if Λ exist but not fine tuned?

However: if Λ not fine-tuned can "overcompensate" for gravity attraction \rightarrow lead to acceleration! so: in wake of SNIa results, Λ rebirth!

 \rightarrow even Al's blunders turn into gold!

in math language: A alters Friedmann:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - \frac{K}{a^{2}} + \frac{\Lambda c^{2}}{3} = \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho_{\text{tot}} - \frac{K}{a^{2}}$$
(3)
$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\left(\rho + 3\frac{P}{c^{2}}\right) + \frac{\Lambda c^{2}}{3} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\left(\rho_{\text{tot}} + 3\frac{P_{\text{tot}}}{c^{2}}\right)$$
(4)

where ρ_{tot} includes $\rho_{\Lambda} = \Lambda c^2 / 8\pi G$ "vacuum energy density" P_{tot} includes $P_{\Lambda} = -\rho_{\Lambda}c^2$: "vacuum pressure"

In other words:

- ∧ ≠ 0 gives energy content to empty space!
 ("vacuum energy")
- and vacuum energy has negative pressure!
- \Rightarrow fills the bill for acceleration!

The Onset of Acceleration

recall: supernova data show that

- the universe is *accelerating* now and in "recent" past in blatant contradiction to expectations
- but in more distant past, universe was *decelerating*

How can we understand this?

Example: if cosmological constant $\Lambda,$ then

acceleration
$$=$$
 $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\rho + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda$ (5)

- *Q:* Which term is bigger today?
- *Q*: what about the past–what is *a* like at earlier times?
- $\stackrel{!}{\neg}$ Q: How does matter density ρ change in the past? \land ? Q: and so what happens in the past? what about the future?

acceleration
$$=$$
 $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\rho + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda$ (6)

today:

- U accelerating, so acceleration > 0
- and thus positive Λ term > negative ρ term

in the past:

- scale factor *a* smaller (U is expanding!)
- A same, but matter density $\rho = \rho_0/a^3 \propto 1/a^3$ \Rightarrow in past, density higher: ρ bigger
- at some point in past (some value of a): negative ρ term wins \rightarrow U decelerates!
- in fact, not long ago: terms equal when a = 1/1.3 = 0.75!

in the future:

18

- ρ keeps getting smaller, but Λ same
- acceleration becomes even more positive

 \Rightarrow a \land universe will accelerate (and expand) forever!

A and the Cosmic Coincidence Problem

cosmo constant Λ – what *is* it?

- a new constant of nature (like c, G)
- can be viewed as "antigravity" source everywhere
- but also can be viewed as a "substance" filling all of space, at all times, with uniform density of energy $\rho_{\Lambda} = \Lambda/8\pi G$ "vacuum energy density"

```
curious fact: today \rho_{\Lambda} \approx 2\rho_{matter,0}
but \rho_{\Lambda} never changes with U expansion
while \rho_{matter} always changes
\Rightarrow so why do we live at a special time:
almost at the moment when the two are equal?
at most cosmic times, either \rho_{matter} \gg \rho_{\Lambda}
```

19

or $\rho_{\Lambda} \gg \rho_{\text{matter}}$

huge coincidence!? seems anti-Copernican! *Q: ways out?*

Dark Energy

to keep "spirit of Λ " but avoid cosmic coincidence problem:

generalize vacuum energy idea \Rightarrow **dark energy**

- previously unknown energy field ("scalar field") known matter and energy fields fail!
 have positive pressure and thus attractive gravity
- also has negative pressure, causes acceleration
- but now density can change and usually does!
 ρ_{DE} can drop with expansion
 but in some models can even *increase*!

Dark Energy and Cosmic Coincidence

some dark energy models ("quintessence") find dark energy change (evolution) is linked to the rest of cosmic contents (matter, radiation)

evolution occurs in such a way that always keep ρ_{DE} close $\rho_{matter+rad}$ so this is *always* true, not just now \rightarrow alleviates cosmic coincidence of acceleration starting "yesterday"

Dark Energy vs Cosmo Constant

technically: dark energy density $\rho_{\text{DE}} \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$ with w unknown except that need w < -1/3 for acceleration cosmological constant: w = -1 exactly, so $\rho_{\text{DE}} \propto a^0 = const$

Note: cosmo constant is *special case* of dark energy simplest possible version: unchanging always

Q: so how do we tell if we have ∧ or more general dark energy?Q: and who cares? what's the difference?

Unmasking Dark Energy

cosmo constant is very special: Λ and thus ρ_{Λ} strictly constant never change in time or space

so if can measure cosmic expansion in past can find the density needed to cause this see if it changes or not technically: measure w from $\rho_{\text{DE}} \propto a^{3(1+w)}$ cosmo constant if and only if w = -1

Who cares?

- if Λ: why do we live at the moment
 it has revealed itself? Anthropic principle?
- if not Λ: what is this weird evolving dark energy that fills the universe?