Astro 404 Lecture 4 Aug. 30, 2021

Announcements:

- Problem Set 1 posted on Canvas due on Canvas in pdf this Friday Sept 3 at 5:00pm
- Office Hours: Instructor-right after class Wed TA: Thursday 2:30-3:30 pm

Last time: stars observed-flux and color

Q: How is flux defined?

 \vdash

- *Q:* In everyday language what does flux measure?
- *Q:* How is flux traditionally quantified for stars?
- Q: How is color quantified for stars? Hint: in terms of fluxes

Flux

flux defined as:

$$F = \frac{dE/dt}{A} = \frac{\text{light power}}{\text{area}}$$

measures apparent brightness

for stars: flux measured in **magnitudes** uses calibration "zero point" of flux from Vega $F_{Vega} = F_{ZP}$

$$m = -2.5 \log_{10} \left(\frac{F}{F_{zp}}\right) \tag{2}$$

(1)

Q: *m* values for $F = (1, 10, 0.1)F_{zp}$?

color: use filter to measure flux in wavelength bands example: blue B, "visual" V yellow color measured by flux ratio: F_B/F_V or color index $m_B - m_V = B - V = -2.5 \log_{10}(F_B/F_V)$ Q: which is bluer: B - V = 0.5 or -0.5?

Small Group Discussion: 3min

Is it possible for two observers to see a different flux for the same lightbulb?

Fine print:

No walls or absorbing media allowed observations use same detectors

- if yes, give an example when this would occur
- if no, explain why not

ω Link: https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/139kHcw-61380Jlp686Sf1jQvu_aJXxOw_oW

Flux from a Point Source

consider spherical source (hint: it's a star!) of size R emitting light isotropically (same in all directions) with constant *power* L ("luminosity")

at radius r > R (outside of source) area $A = 4\pi r^2$, and flux is

$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$$

inverse square law

Q: what principle at work here? *Q* what implicitly assumed?



▶ for we observers to infer luminosity = star wattage need both flux F and distance r

Inverse Square Law for Flux

Ultimately relies on *energy conservation*

 \rightarrow energy emitted $d\mathcal{E}_{\text{emit}} = L \ dt_{\text{emit}}$ from source

is same as energy observed $d\mathcal{E}_{obs} = F A dt_{obs}$

Thus: inverse square derivation assumes

- outside of source: no emission, absorption, or scattering we will revisit these
- no relativistic effects (redshifting, time dilation)
- Euclidean geometry—i.e., no spatial curvature, usually fine unless near strong gravity source

Luminosity

Warning! apparent brightness \neq luminosity!

- luminosity = power emitted from star: "wattage" units: energy/time, e.g., Watts
- flux = power per unit area (at some observer location) units: power/area, e.g., Watts/m²

flux (Apparent brightness) and luminosity related by

observer-dependent
$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2} \frac{\text{observer-independent}}{\text{observer-dependent}}$$
 (3)

inverse square law!

farther \leftrightarrow dimmer

hence brightness is "apparent" – depends on observer distance but L is intrinsic fundamental property of a star

σ

```
Q: how measure star L?
```

Measuring Star Power

To find the luminosity of a star

- 1. Measure F
- 2. Measure r
- 3. solve: $L = 4\pi r^2 F$

ergo: to compare wattage of stars, need distances!

Q: how to measure distances to stars?

Distances to Stars: Parallax

```
a difficult, longstanding (ongoing!) problem
today many techniques exist
note: technology only good enough in last 2 centuries
anchor: Earth-Sun distance (gotten from radar)
r_{\text{Earth-Sun}} \equiv 1 au = 1.5 \times 10^{11} m: astronomical unit
```

Parallax – the "gold standard" of stellar distances *Demo*: thumb's up–arm's length, halfway

as Earth orbits, our viewpoint shifts (slightly!) \rightarrow nearby \star s appear to move w.r.t. background \star s



Q: diagram is top view—what is sky view over 1 year? Q: what should we measure? what does it tell us?

Parallax: Geometry and Units



 $_{\odot}$ occasionally use **light year** = distance light travels in 1 yr 1 lyr = $c \times 1$ yr = 9.5×10^{15} m and so: 1 pc = 3.26 lyr

Parallax: Observations

typical parallactic shift is tiny (if observable at all!) all less than 1 arcsec = $\frac{1}{3600}$ deg = 5 × 10⁻⁶ radian!! Sirius: p = 0.366 arcsec $d = \frac{1}{0.366}$ pc = 2.65 pc $\simeq 5 \times 10^5$ au

nearest stars to us: α Centauri triple (!) star system α Cen A & B, Proxima: $d(\alpha$ Cen) = 1.3 pc = 4 lyr note: even from nearest star, light takes 4 *years* to get here!

Lessons:

- 1 pc ~ typical distance between neighboring stars in our Galaxy (and others) www: 100 nearest stars
- parallax p tiny at best: requires precision astrometry until recently: parallax only available for nearest stars
- Revolution: GAIA space mission www: GAIA

10

Standard Candles

imagine we can find objects—"standard candles" with *L* known somehow *Q: everyday examples?*

then when we measure Fwe can immediately infer **luminosity distance**

$$d_L = \sqrt{\frac{L}{4\pi F}}$$

(5)

Q: why would this be incredibly useful?

Q: what are possible astrophysical standard candles?

Q: why is this not easy to do in practice?

we'll see: stars provide several possible standard candles this will be a running theme of the course

Stellar Distances and Magnitudes

recall: *apparent magnitude* is measure of star *flux*

but if know distance, can compute: absolute magnitude

abs mag $M \equiv$ apparent mag if star placed at $d_0 = 10 \text{ pc}$

Q: why need distance to find abs mag?

Q: what does abs mag measure, effectively?

Absolute Magnitude

absolute magnitude M = apparent mag at d_0 = 10 pc

places all stars at constant fixed distance

- \rightarrow a stellar "police lineup"
- \rightarrow then differences in F only due to diff in L
- \rightarrow absolute mag effectively measure luminosity

Star	absolute magnitude in visual band
Sun (symbol ⊙)	$M_{V,\odot} = 4.76$ mag
Sirius	$M_{V,Sirius} = +1.43 \text{ mag}$
Vega	$M_{V, \text{Vega}} = +0.58 \text{ mag}$
Polaris	$M_{V,\text{Polaris}} = -3.58 \text{ mag}$

Q: rank them in order of descending L?

 $\overline{\omega}$ Immediately see that Sun neither most nor least luminous star around

How Do Stars Shine? Take I

Matter, Temperature, and Light

14

hot matter glows (think stove burner) temperature – radiation connection very useful for astronomers!

but atoms made of charged particles random thermal motion \rightarrow changing EM forces \rightarrow light Maxwell eqs: accelerating charges emit EM radiation!

thus: thermal body = object at a temperature Temits EM radiation: **thermal radiation** spectrum of this "heat radiation" depends on T

Blackbodies

useful^{*} to define an ideal substance: a perfect absorber of light: **"blackbody"** absorbs all λ , reflects none

*a useful idealization in the same way an "ideal gas" is useful: brings out essential physics, and a good approximation to behavior of many real substances

- Q: what would such a thing look like?
- Q: what are real substances almost like this?
- *Q*: what everyday object is nearly the opposite of this?

perfect absorber of light: "blackbody" imagine: lump of idealize coal, reflects no light

when in contact with external world at nonzero Tblackbody absorbs energy \rightarrow heats up re-emits according to temperature T"blackbody radiation" = thermal radiation

spectrum depends only on T: universal property of objects in thermal equilibrium

Blackbody Spectrum



Thermal Spectrum: Light as Thermometer!

for blackbody at temperature T: peak $\lambda = \text{color seen}$: $\lambda_{\text{peak}} \propto 1/T$ where T is absolute temperature in Kelvin units



Wien's law:

$$\lambda_{\text{peak}} = \frac{0.29 \text{ cm K}}{T} \propto \frac{1}{T}$$
(6)

hotter \rightarrow more blue \rightarrow shorter λ

 $\stackrel{\text{to}}{\Rightarrow} \text{ spectrum as } \frac{\text{thermometer}}{\text{color measures temperature}}$

iClicker Poll: Human Radiation

Humans have temperature T > 0Do humans emit blackbody radiation?



B no: T_{human} is too high to emit significant radiation



yes: human radiation exists, but is invisible

yes: human radiation is visible seen all the time! percieved as hair color, eye color, etc.

```
any object with T > 0 emits thermal radiation!
but not always visible to naked eye
```

Human radiation:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\rm peak} &= 0.29~{\rm cm}~{\rm K}/300~{\rm K} \approx 10^{-3}~{\rm cm}-10^{-5}~{\rm m}\\ {\rm www:}~{\rm EM}~{\rm spectrum}\\ {\rm infrared!}~{\rm www:}~{\rm IR}~{\rm gallery--people,~animals} \end{split}$$

not only good for household objects, but also for stars www: multiwavelength stars

```
X-ray emission seen from Cassiopeia A!

www: Cas A spectrum

some of this is thermal emission: how hot is it?

T \sim 0.29 cm K/10<sup>-7</sup> cm = 3 × 10<sup>6</sup> K !

Q: what might have made it so hot?
```

20

Q: quick-and-dirty way to estimate star temperature? *Q*: what part of the star has this temperature?

Stellar Thermometry: Color Temperature

recall - broadband fluxes give "poor person's spectrum"
pro: broad passband flters don't need as much light
so can measure quickly
con: don't get detailed spectra (lines, etc)

also recall: *color* \Leftrightarrow *flux ratios* usually expressed as *color index* for bands 1 and 2: $m_2 - m_1 = 2.5 \log_{10}(F_1/F_2)$

so if spectrum is well approximated by blackbody Wien's law: color index estimates color temperature more specifically: the average surface temperature

$$\stackrel{\text{N}}{=}$$
 Q: compare Betelgeuse vs Rigel?

Q: estimate Sun's T_{color} ?

Color Temperature: Examples

qualitatively:

in Orion, reddish Betelgeuse is cooler than bluish Rigel in Gemini: red Castor cooler than blue Pollux

quantitatively:

```
the Sun's color temperature T_{color,\odot} \approx 5900 \text{ K}
check: white sunlight \rightarrow peaks min-optical \lambda_{max} \sim 500 \text{ nm}
gives T_{color} = 0.3 \text{ mm K}/\lambda_{max} \sim 6000 \text{ K} yay!
```

Blackbody Flux

hotter objects are glow *brighter* than cooler ones i.e., blackbody surface flux increases with T

blackbody flux B: summed (integrated) over all λ

 $F_{\text{surface}}(T) \stackrel{\text{blackbody}}{\equiv} B(T) = \sigma T^4$ **Stefan-Boltzmann law** (7)

- applies to *surface* of blackbody (solid, liquid, dense gas)
- Stefan-Boltzmann constant $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ Watt m⁻² K⁻⁴
- note very strong dependence on (absolute) T!
- note that blackbody flux depends only on emitter T independent of composition

 $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ Q: for blackbody sphere of radius R, sum of flux over surface?

Stellar Thermometry II: Effective Temperature

for a *blackbody sphere* of radius R sum (really, integration) of flux over surface gives **luminosity**!

$$4\pi R^2 B = 4\pi R^2 \ \sigma T^4 = \text{flux} \times \text{area} = \text{power} = L \tag{8}$$

for a real star, if R known, can compute effective temperature

$$T_{\rm eff} = \left(\frac{L}{4\pi\sigma R^2}\right)^{1/4} \tag{9}$$

Q: What is T_{eff} for a perfect blackbody? *Q*: What if $T_{\text{eff}} \neq$ color temperature? How could that be?

Temperatures of Real Stars

if star were pefect blackbody:

N Б color temperature $= T_{eff} =$ true thermodynamic temperature T

but real star spectra are not perfect blackbodies so in general, none of these "temperatures" agree!

in practice: color temp vs $T_{\rm eff}$ tests blackbody approximation $T_{\rm eff,\odot} = 5780$ K, close to but not same as $T_{\rm color,\odot}$ blackbody approximation not too bad!

better: make detailed model of stellar atmosphere compute spectrum in presence of lines and changing temperature with depth use this to infer temperature structure

A Census of Stars

We now have the technology to take a census of stars!

For large sample of stars, measure L and T for each plot each star's (T, L) point on diagram of L vs T

Some possible trends:

- random scatter
- all stars fall onto same point
- tight clump of points
- a line or curve

 \mathbb{Q} Q: what would each of these imply?

iClicker Poll: Star Temperature and Luminosity

Vote your conscience!

For large sample of stars, measure L and T for each plot points on diagram of L vs TWhat will the data show?

- A random scatter: stars have large range of L, and of T, and in any combination
- B
 - tight clump of points: stars are nearly identical, all with very similar L and T



a clear trend: stars have large range of L and of T but the two vary together (correlated)



none of the above

A Stellar Census: Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram

Hertzsprung-Russell: plot L vsT for lotsa stars really, abs mag M_V vs spectra type but these are equivalent to L and T

www: H-R diagram

- Q: what patterns do you notice?
- Q: where are most stars?
- *Q*: where is the Sun?
- Q: how does the Sun compare to other stars?

Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram

```
for a "fair sample" of stars
(i.e., not a specially picked cluster)
trends emerge
```

most stars (~ 90%) fall on curve: "main sequence" (including the Sun!); "dwarfs" most of the rest: cooler but more luminous: "giants" *Q: how do we know they are giant?* a rare few: hot but luminous: "supergiants" not rare but dim and hard to find: very hot but very low-*L* objects: "white dwarfs" *Q: how do we know they are teeny?*

29

Q: what does the HR diagram tell us about the Sun?

H-R and the Sun

The Sun on H-R diagram:

- found on the main sequence
- position is in the middle of the curve

but the main sequence is where most stars are found!

thus: the Sun is a typical star!

- lies in heart of main sequence L vs T trend
- neither most nor least luminous, not hottest or coolest

Other questions arise:

- *why* do stars lie on the main sequence?
- what controls their position on the diagram?
- what's up with the giants, supergiants, and white dwarfs? ...stay tuned

30