Astro 404 Lecture 12 Sept. 20, 2021

Announcements:

• Problem Set 4 due Friday

instructor office hours: Wed 11am-noon or by appt TA office hours: Thurs 2:30-3:30

Last time:

• Ideal gas theory

Q: microscopic origin of pressure? temperature?

• Virial theorem

relates total internal energy ${\cal U}$

^{\vdash} and gravitational potential energy Ω *Q: namely? Under what conditions?*

Ideal Gas Recap

microscopic theory gives ideal gas pressure

$$P = \frac{N m_{\rm g} \langle v^2 \rangle}{V 3} = \frac{N}{V} kT = n \ kT \tag{1}$$

- $P \propto N$: more particles \leftrightarrow collisions more frequent
- $P \propto 1/V$: more $V \leftrightarrow$ collisions less frequent
- $kT = m_g \langle v^2 \rangle / 3$: faster particles \leftrightarrow hotter gas

Virial Theorem Recap

the Virial theorem relates global stellar energy reservoirs

$$U = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega\tag{2}$$

total internal energy is minus half total grav potential energy applies to: *monatomic ideal gas* in *hydrostatic equilibrium*

a kind of stellar equipartition

like ideal gas kinetic equipartition: $m_g \langle v_x \rangle^2 / 2 = m_g \langle v_y \rangle^2 / 2 = m_g \langle v_z \rangle^2 / 2 = kT$

the total energy in a star under hydrostatic equilibrium is

$$E_{\text{tot}} = U + \Omega = \frac{1}{2}\Omega = -\frac{1}{2}\int \frac{Gm \ dm}{r}$$
(3)

ω

Q: order of magnitude for each?

Virial Theorem: Order of Magnitude

to order of magnitude:

- internal/thermal energy: $U \sim M \langle kT \rangle / m_g = N \langle kT \rangle$
- gravitational potential energy: $\Omega \sim -GM^2/R$

Virial theorem links these, and implies

$$kT \sim \frac{GMm_{\rm g}}{R} \tag{4}$$

note: $kT \sim m_{\rm g} \langle v^2 \rangle$, and $GM/R \sim \Phi$ so Virial also means that

$$\langle v^2 \rangle \sim \Phi$$
 (5)

▶ particle thermal speeds set by depth of gravitational potential

Virial Theorem and Stellar Evolution

note that Virial theorem relates

- *global stellar energy reservoirs*: gravitational and internal/thermal
- and hence total energy localized to the star
- while in state of *hydrostatic equilibrium*

but recall that stars lose energy – they are luminous!

Q: how can a star do this and maintain equilibrium state?

Maintaining Equilibrium: Burning Phases

Virial theorem: $\langle kT \rangle \sim GMm_{\rm g}/R$, and $E_{\rm tot} \sim -N \langle kT \rangle \sim -GM^2/R$

to maintain $E_{tot} \rightarrow$ must maintain R and T

- the star must *tap a non-gravitational, non-thermal "fuel source"* that supplies energy to maintain *T* and power luminosity
- a given equilibrium state (R, T, L) lasts as long as fuel permits

Thus we anticipate **burning phases set by fuel supplies**

Q: when will a star change its equilibrium state?

σ

Seeking Equilibrium: Contraction Phases

if the "fuel source" is gravitational energy itself radiated energy decreases E_{tot}

But $E_{tot} < 0$ already: star is gravitationally bound

- radiative energy loss makes E_{tot} more negative
- and thus $|E_{tot}|$ increases

Virial theorem $|E_{tot}| \sim GM^2/R \sim NkT/m_g$ demands that R decreases as well

- the star contracts!
- and in response *heats up!* this continues unless/until a new fuel source ignites

 \neg

Thus we expect **contraction phases** between burning phases

Perturbing a Star

consider a star maintaining hydrostatic equilibrium by burning a non-gravitational, non-thermal fuel supply to replenish thermal energy balancing losses through lumonosity

now imagine a *perturbation that generates energy faster* due to upward density or temperature fluctuation at the star's center ("core")

if the star is composed of an *ideal gas*: *Q: how does the star's center respond? Q: what is the effect on the fuel burning rate? Q: what is the net response to the perturbation?*

00

Q: what if a perturbation had lowered the core burning rate?

The Virial Theorem and Stellar Stability

an increased core energy production rate:

- leads to a higher core temperature
- ▷ for ideal gas: increases pressure
- pressure gradient drives core expansion
- b density drops, pressure and temperature drop
- ▷ this *lowers burning rate* until
- ▷ the star recovers its initial state!

can convince yourself:

Q

same conclusion for downward perturbation

Lesson: perturbed ideal gas stars burning non-gravitational fuel are driven back to initial state

the equilibrium is stable!

The Virial Theorem and Stellar Contraction argument courtesy of Alessandro Chieffi

consider a star not generating energy via "fuel burning" and thus contracting

if contraction isn't too fast

star passes through a series of states near hydrostatic equilibrium in which total energy is

$$E_{\rm tot} = -U_{\rm int} \sim -N \ \langle kT \rangle \tag{6}$$

transition between states requires change of internal energy this takes time to occur

⁶ "protects" the star against sudden violent changes

Poll: Ultra-Relativistic Stars

so far, implicitly assumed stars are *non-relativistic* ideal gasses

Consider a star composed of a gas of *relativistic particles* that is: speeds $v \approx c$ or even v = c

Vote your conscience!

Will the star be more or less stable than if non-relativistic?

A more stable (faster particles = more internal energy = more tightly bound)

B

C

less stable (faster = more pressure = less tightly bound)

11

no change in stability (effects cancel)

Ideal Gas: Ultra-Relativistic Case

thus far implicitly assumed *gas speeds are non-relativistic*

- \bullet speeds $v \ll c$ and $p \ll m_{\rm g}c$
- so $p^2/m_{\rm g} \ll m_{\rm g}c^2$ and thus $kT \ll m_{\rm g}c^2$

now consider opposite limit: ultra-relativistic particles

- $v \approx c$ or even v = c Q: examples?
- relativistic momentum $p \gg m_{\rm g}c$
- energy $E = \sqrt{(cp)^2 + (m_g c^2)^2} \approx cp$

revisit microscopic pressure derivation

$$P_{\text{rel}} = \frac{N \langle pv \rangle_{\text{rel}}}{3V} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{N \langle E \rangle}{V} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{U_{\text{rel}}}{V}$$
(7)

and thus for a relativistic gas PV = U/3, and energy density is

$$\varepsilon_{\rm rel} = 3P_{\rm rel}$$
 (8)

Relativistic Gas Example: Blackbody Photons

photons are massless, have $v_{\gamma} = c$: always relativistic! a "gas" photons in equilibrium at T: **blackbody radiation** \Rightarrow most important example of relativisitc gas

blackbody properties depend only on T:

flux
$$F = \sigma_{SB} T^4$$

energy density $\varepsilon = a_{SB} T^4$ (9)
pressure $P = \frac{1}{3}a_{SB} T^4 = \varepsilon/3$

where the two Stefan-Botlzmann constants are

•
$$\sigma_{SB} = \pi^2 k^4 / 60 \hbar^3 c^2 = 5.67 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$$

•
$$a_{SB} = 4\sigma/c = \pi^2 k^4/15\hbar^3 c^3 = 7.57 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \text{ K}^{-4}$$

 $\overleftarrow{\omega}$ we see that indeed photon energy density and pressure are indeed related by $\frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma} = 3P_{\gamma}}{\varepsilon_{\gamma} = 3P_{\gamma}}$

Virial Theorem for an Ultra-Relativistic Gas

basic Virial theorem argument still holds

$$\int P \ dV = -\frac{1}{3}\Omega_{\text{grav}} \tag{10}$$

but for relativistic gas: pressure and energy density related via $P_{\text{rel}} = \varepsilon_{\text{rel}}/3$ instead of non-relativistic $P_{\text{nr}} = (2/3)\varepsilon_{\text{nr}}$ so $\int P_{\text{rel}} dV = (1/3) \int \varepsilon_{\text{rel}} dV = U_{\text{rel}}/3$

thus for a relativistic gas, Virial theorem is

$$U_{\rm rel} = -\Omega_{\rm grav} \tag{11}$$

Q: so what is total energy? implications?

 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle{\leftarrow}}{\scriptstyle{\leftarrow}}$ Q: for a fixed mass distribution = fixed Ω , which gas is hotter?

Ultra-Relativistic Stars are Unstable

relativistic gas in equilibrium: Virial theorem

$$U_{\rm rel} = -\Omega_{\rm grav} \tag{12}$$

this gives total energy

 $E_{\text{tot}} = \text{internal} + \text{grav pot} = U_{\text{rel}} + \Omega = 0$ (13)

total energy is zero!

dramatic implications:

- $E_{tot} = 0$ means system is marginally bound
- transition between equilibrium states requires no change in internal energy
- star can evolve violently: **a relativistic star is unstable!**

Virial Theorem: Lessons

equilibrium links thermal and gravitational energy more compact \leftrightarrow hotter

- stellar interiors much hotter than $T_{\rm eff}$
- as stars lose energy they get hotter!

16

- (non-relativistic) ideal gas stars are self-regulating: stable
- (non-relativistic) ideal gas stars require time to evolve
- relativistic stars are barely bound, can evolve rapidly these stars are unstable!

Stars: Energy Generation

How Does the Sun Shine?

The Sun radiates: shines from thermal radiation

- recall: surface flux $F_{surf,\odot} = \sigma T_{surf,\odot}^4 = 60 \text{ MWatt/m}^2$
- total power output = rate of energy emission = luminosity

 $L_{\odot} = 4\pi R_1^2 _{AU} F_{\odot}(1 \text{ AU}) = 3.85 \times 10^{26} \text{ Watts}$ (14) \rightarrow the Sun is a 4 × 10²⁶-Watt lightbulb

- But also: the Sun has *constant* temperature, luminosity (over human timescales \gtrsim centuries)
- $\overline{\omega}$ Q: how is the Sun unlike a cup of coffee?

The Sun is Not a Cup of Coffee

Coffee Thermodynamics

Demo: cup of coffee: cools thermodynamic lesson:

- left alone, hot coffee cools (surprise!)
 → energy radiated, not replaced
- to keep your double-shot soy latte from cooling need Mr. CoffeeTM machine–energy (heat) source

Contrast with the Sun

19

- surface T_{\odot} constant over human lifetimes but energy *is* radiated, at enormous rate
- ergo: something must replace the lost energy
- \triangleright What is solar heat source (fuel supply)? \rightarrow a mystery in Astronomy until the 20th century
- *Q: all possible energy/heat sources which Sun taps? Q: how to test/compare which are important?*

Energy Conservation and the Sun

recall: power is energy flow rate L = dE/dt

assume:

- Sun always emits energy at today's rate (L constant)
- radiation lasts for time τ_{\odot} = "lifetime" of Sun

Q: what is a minimum value for τ_{\odot} ?

energy output over Sun's lifetime:

 $E_{\text{lost}} = L\tau$

Energy conservation:

solar energy supply = lifelong energy output

20

Solar Batteries: Required Lifetime

we found from radioactive dating of meteorites: the solar system is very old: age $t_{SS} = 4.55 \times 10^9 \ yr$ Sun's present age essentially the same: $t_{\odot,now} = t_{SS} = 4.55$ billion years

total energy output over this time is huuuge! \rightarrow required huge energy reservoir

in other words: important solar energy source(s) \equiv long-lived: $\tau_{\text{source}} = E_{\text{res}}/L_{\odot} = \tau_{\odot} > t_{\odot,\text{now}} \approx 5$ billion yr

Q: possible sources-not just right answer, but any energy reser-