# Astronomy 501: Radiative Processes <br> Lecture 15 <br> Sept 26, 2022 

Announcements:

- Problem Set 5 due Friday

Last time: radiation from moving charges

- Q: what motion leads to radiation?


## Getting the Kinks Out

decelerating charge distant observer will see pulse

- propagating at $c$
- tangential to motion: transverse
- in direction $\perp v$ : pulse amplitude larger than radial field
this is radiation! caused by acceleration!

for non-relativistic charge $q$ with acceleration $\vec{a}$ viewed in direction $\hat{n}$
$\sim ~ Q:$ acceleration field $\vec{E}$ dependence on $R$ ? direction? $\vec{B}$ ?
for non-relativistic charge $q$
with acceleration $\vec{a}$
viewed in at distance $R$ and direction $\hat{n}=\vec{R} / R$
$\vec{E}(\vec{R}, t)_{\text {accel }}=\frac{q}{c}\left[\frac{\hat{n}}{\kappa^{3} R} \times\{(\widehat{n}-\vec{\beta}) \times \dot{\vec{\beta}}\}\right]_{\text {ret }} \xrightarrow{\text { non-rel }} \frac{q}{c^{2} R} \widehat{n} \times(\hat{n} \times \vec{a})$
and $\vec{B}=\hat{n} \times \vec{E}$
* field magnitude determines intensity
* field direction determines polarization
vector identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{a} \times(\vec{b} \times \vec{c})=(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b}-(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{c} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\omega$ and so: $\hat{n} \times(\hat{n} \times \vec{a})=(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{n}-\vec{a}=-[\vec{a}-(\widehat{n} \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{n}]$
Q: What is this physically? where does it point?

## Non-Relativistic Acceleration: Polarization

field magnitude determines intensity
field direction determines polarization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{\mathrm{rad}} \propto \hat{n} \times(\hat{n} \times \vec{a})=-[\vec{a}-(\hat{n} \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{n}] \equiv-\vec{a}_{\perp} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{a}_{\perp}=\vec{a}-(\widehat{n} \cdot \vec{a}) \widehat{n}$

- $\widehat{n} \cdot \vec{a}_{\perp}=0$ : orthogonal to $\widehat{n}$
- $\hat{n} \cdot a$ : component of $\vec{a}$ along $\hat{n}$ thus: $\vec{a}_{\perp}$ is accel component orthogonal to view direction $\hat{n}$

Lesson: $\vec{a}_{\perp}$ and hence polarization direction is

- along component of acceleration $\perp$ sightline
- the projection of $\vec{a}$ onto the observer's sky
- Q: polarization observed for linear acceleration?

Q: where maximum? where is pol and signal zero?

already saw example of linear acceleration all observers see linear motion in projection

- radiation field $100 \%$ linearly polarized
- direction opposite acceleration
- rad field and intensity max $\perp \vec{a}$
- zero signal along $\vec{a}$
- in general: $E_{r a d} \propto \sin \Theta$


Now consider circular motion
Q: polarization observed along rotation axis?
Q: polarization observed in plane of motion?
Q: polarization for arbitrary observer?


## Nonrelativistic Uniform Circular Motion

For uniform circular motion:
observer sees projected orbit

- obs on orbit axis sees circular motion signal is 100\% circularly polarized
- obs in plane of motion sees linear oscillation signal is $100 \%$ linearly polarized

- arbitrary observer sees elliptical orbit signal is $100 \%$ elliptically polarized
o Q: What is polarization for helical (corkscrew) motion?


## Larmor Formula

Nonrelativistic charges radiate when accelerated!
Power per unit solid angle is

$$
\frac{d P}{d \Omega}=\frac{q^{2}}{4 \pi c^{3}}|\hat{n} \times(\hat{n} \times \vec{a})|^{2}
$$

define angle $\Theta$ between $\vec{a}$ and $\hat{n}$ via $\hat{n} \cdot \hat{a}=\cos \Theta$ :

$$
\frac{d P}{d \Omega}=\frac{q^{2} a^{2}}{4 \pi c^{3}} \sin ^{2} \Theta
$$


a $\sin ^{2} \Theta$ pattern!
$\rightarrow$ no radiation in direction of acceleration, maximum $\perp \vec{a}$ integrate over all solid angles: $P=q^{2} a^{2} / 4 \pi c^{3} \int \sin ^{2} \Theta d \Omega$ total radiated power is
this will be our workhorse!
relates radiation to particle acceleration via $P \propto a^{2}$

## An Ensemble of Point Charges

So far: field of a single point charge
Now: consider $N$ particles, with $q_{i}, \vec{R}_{i}, \vec{v}_{i}=\dot{\vec{R}}_{i}, \vec{a}_{i}=\ddot{\vec{R}}_{i}$

Net $\vec{E}$ will be sum over all particles

Q: relativisitic complications beyond "simple" bookkeeping?

Q: when will things simplify?

## Approximate Phase Coherence

observed fields for each charge depend on its retarded time and these are different for each charge
$\rightarrow$ leads to phase differences between particles
which we in general would have to track

When are phase differences not a problem?
When light-travel-time lags between particles
represent small phase differences


Let system size be $L$, and timescale for variations $\tau$ if $\tau \gg L / c$, phase differences will be small
or: characteristic frequency is $\nu \sim 1 / \tau$
so phase differences small if $c / \nu \gg L$, or $\lambda \gg L$
note that typical particle speeds $u \sim L / \tau$, so
${ }_{\circ}$ phase coherence condition $\rightarrow u \ll c \rightarrow$ nonrelativistic motion

## Dipole Approximation

so for non-relativistic systems we may ignore

- differences in time retardation, and
- the correction factor $\kappa=1-\widehat{n} \cdot \vec{v} / c \rightarrow 1$
and thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}=\sum_{i} \frac{q_{i}}{c^{2}} \frac{\hat{n} \times\left(\hat{n} \times \vec{a}_{i}\right)}{R_{i}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the system has $R_{i} \approx R_{0} \gg L$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}=\hat{n} \times\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{c^{2} R_{0}} \times \sum_{i} q_{i} \vec{a}_{i}\right)=\frac{\hat{n} \times(\hat{n} \times \ddot{\vec{d}})}{c^{2} R_{0}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dipole moment is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{d}=\sum_{i} q_{i} \vec{R}_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a non-relativistic dipole, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}=\frac{\widehat{n} \times(\widehat{n} \times \ddot{\vec{d}})}{c^{2} R_{0}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

this dipole approximation gives: power per unit solid angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d \Omega}=\frac{\ddot{d}^{2}}{4 \pi c^{3}} \sin ^{2} \Theta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total power radiated

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\ddot{d}^{2}}{c^{3}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

consider a dipole that maintains the same orientation $\vec{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\ddot{d}(t) \frac{\sin \Theta}{c^{2} R_{0}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Fourier transform of $d(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t)=\int e^{-i \omega t} \widetilde{d}(\omega) d \omega \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(\omega)=-\omega^{2} \tilde{d}(\omega) \frac{\sin \Theta}{c^{2} R_{0}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the energy per solid angle and frequency is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W}{d \Omega d \omega}=\frac{1}{c^{3}} \omega^{4}|\tilde{d}(\omega)|^{2} \sin ^{2} \Theta \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d W}{d \omega}=\frac{8 \pi}{3 c^{3}} \omega^{4}|\tilde{d}(\omega)|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$

- note the $\omega^{4} \propto \lambda^{-4}$ dependence
- and $\tilde{d}(\omega)$ : dipole frequencies control radiation frequencies


## Radiation from Accelerated Charges: Polarization

Polarization is electric field direction $\vec{E}$ where $\vec{E} \perp \vec{B} \perp \hat{n}$

Observationally: use polarizer which selects out one of two polarization states $\hat{\epsilon}_{1}, \hat{\epsilon}_{2}$
 in some (complex) basis
e.g., if wave propagates in $\hat{n}=\hat{z}$ then

- xy polarization: $\epsilon_{1}=\hat{x}, \epsilon_{2}=\hat{y}$
- $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ polarizations: $\epsilon_{1}=(\hat{x}+\hat{y}) / \sqrt{2}, \epsilon_{2}=(\hat{x}-\hat{y}) / \sqrt{2}$
- circular polarization: $\epsilon_{+}=(\hat{x}-i \widehat{y}) / \sqrt{2}, \epsilon_{-}=(\hat{x}+i \widehat{y}) / \sqrt{2}$
$\ddagger$ If (complex) electric vector is $\vec{E}$
Q: what passes through polarizer $\hat{\epsilon}_{1}$ ?

Complex electric vector is $\vec{E}$ can be written in some polarization basis ( $\left.\widehat{\epsilon}_{1}, \hat{\epsilon}_{2}, \hat{n}=\hat{k}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}=\left(\mathcal{E}_{1} \hat{\epsilon}_{1}+\mathcal{E}_{2} \hat{\epsilon}_{2}\right) e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}-i \omega t} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with complex amplitudes $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}$
the polarizer corresponding to $\hat{\epsilon}_{1}$ selects out this field component, i.e., the transmitted field amplitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=\widehat{\epsilon}_{1}^{*} \cdot \vec{E}=\mathcal{E}_{1} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}-i \omega t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the angular distribution of power measured in polarization state $\hat{\epsilon}_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {pol }, 1}=\frac{c}{4 \pi}\left|E_{1}\right|^{2}=\frac{c}{4 \pi}\left|\widetilde{\epsilon}_{1}^{*} \cdot \vec{E}\right|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for scattering of initially unpolarized radiation: take average over possible initial polarizations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {unpol }}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {pol, init } 1}+\left(\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {pol,init } 2}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Thomson Scattering

Consider monochromatic radiation
linearly polarized in direction $\hat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }}$
incident on a free, non-relativistic electron
because non-relativistic, we may ignore magnetic forces $Q$ : why?

Q: equation of motion?
$Q:$ and so?

Q: radiation pattern?
magnetic/electric force ratio $F_{B} / F_{E} \sim(v / c) B / E=v / c \ll 1$ and so we can ignore $F_{B}$
thus the force on the electron is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{F} \approx-e E_{0} \widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }} \cos \omega_{0} t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the electron has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\vec{r}}=-\frac{e}{m_{e}} E_{0} \widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }} \cos \omega_{0} t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the dipole moment $\vec{d}=-e \vec{r}$ has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dddot{\vec{d}}=\frac{e^{2}}{m_{e}} E_{0} \widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }} \cos \omega_{0} t \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can solve for the dipole moment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{d}=-\frac{e^{2} E_{0}}{m_{e} \omega_{0}^{2}} \widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }} \cos \omega_{0} t \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the time-averaged power is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right\rangle & =\frac{e^{4} E_{0}^{2}}{8 \pi m_{e}^{2} c^{3}} \sin ^{2} \Theta  \tag{22}\\
\langle P\rangle & =\frac{e^{4} E_{0}^{2}}{3 m_{e}^{2} c^{3}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

were $\Theta$ is angle between $\widehat{n}$ and $\widehat{a}=\widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }}$

Q: what's notable about these expressions?

Q: how could we disentangle intrinsic electron response?

## Thomson Cross Section

time-averaged power

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{d P}{d \Omega}\right\rangle=\frac{e^{4} E_{0}^{2}}{8 \pi m_{e}^{2} c^{3}} \sin ^{2} \Theta=\frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}} \sin ^{2} \Theta\langle S\rangle \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where time-averaged incident flux is $\langle S\rangle=c E_{0}^{2} / 8 \pi$
recall: differential scattering cross section can be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} & =\frac{\text { scattered power }}{\text { incident flux }}=\frac{d P / d \Omega}{\langle S\rangle}  \tag{25}\\
& =\frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}} \sin ^{2} \Theta \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

integral Thomson cross section is
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet} \quad \sigma_{\top} \equiv \int \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\frac{8 \pi}{3} \frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}=\frac{8 \pi}{3} r_{0}^{2}=0.665 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
with the classical electron radius $r_{0} \equiv e^{2} / m_{e} c^{2}$

## Thomson Appreciation

We have found the cross section for scattering of monochromatic, linearly polarized radiation on free electrons:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} & =\frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}} \sin ^{2} \Theta  \tag{28}\\
\sigma & =\sigma_{\top}=\frac{8 \pi}{3} \frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Q: notable features?

Q: dependence (or lack thereof) on incident radiation?
plasmas will generally have ions as well as free electrons
Q: which is more important for Thomson scattering?

Q: under what conditions might our assumptions break down?

## The Charms of Thomson

Thomson scattering is

- independent of radiation frequency implicitly assumes electron recoil negligible
$\rightarrow$ initial spectral shape vs $\nu$ is unchanged!
- example: Solar corona highly ionized, Thomson dominates Q: implications: spectrum/color? angular distribution?
Q: how observe? www: corona
- $\sigma \propto 1 / m^{2}$ : electron scattering larger than ions by factor $\left(m_{\text {ion }} / m_{e}\right)^{2} \gg 10^{6}$ !
- if electron recoil large, and/or electron relativistic assumptions break down, will have to revisit
if we measure polarization state $\widehat{\epsilon}$,
Q: what is angular pattern of scattered radiation?
in measured $=$ final polarization state $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{f}}$, find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\frac{e^{4}}{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}\left|\vec{\epsilon}_{f}^{*} \cdot \widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }}\right|^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

What if radiation is unpolarized?
$Q$ : how can we use our result?

## Thomson Scattering of Unpolarized Radiation

Using result for linear polarization
we can construct result for unpolarized radiation
by averaging results for two orthogonal linear polarizations

## Geometry:

$\widehat{n}$ is direction of scattered radiation
$\hat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }}=\widehat{k}$ direction of incident radiation initial polarizations are both $\perp \widehat{k}$
choose one polarization $\widehat{\epsilon}_{\text {init, }}$ in $\widehat{n}-\widehat{k}$ plane and the other $\hat{\epsilon}_{\text {init, }}$ orthogonal to this plane and to $\hat{n}$

$\underset{\omega}{\sim}$ thus scatter initial polarization 1 by angle $\Theta=\pi / 2-\theta$
and an initial polarization 2 by angle $\pi / 2$
thus scatter polarization 1 by angle $\Theta=\pi / 2-\theta$ and polarization 2 by angle $\pi / 2$, and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {unpol }} & ==\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{2}  \tag{31}\\
& =\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\sin ^{2} \Theta\right)  \tag{32}\\
& =\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

which only depends on angle $\theta$
between incident $\hat{k}$ and scattered $\hat{n}$ radiation direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{\text {unpol }}=\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

- forward-backward asymmetry: $\theta \rightarrow-\theta$ invariance
- angular pattern: $\cos ^{2} \theta \propto \cos 2 \theta$ term
$\rightarrow$ scattered radiation has has $180^{\circ}$ periodicity
$\rightarrow$ a "pole" every $90^{\circ}$ : quadrupole
- total cross section $\sigma_{\text {unpol }}=\sigma_{\text {pol }}=\sigma_{T}$
$\rightarrow$ electron at rest has no preferred direction
- Polarization of scattered radiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\frac{1-\cos ^{2} \theta}{1+\cos ^{2} \theta} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q: what does this mean?

## Thomson Scattering Creates Polarization

Thomson scattering of initially unpolarized radiation has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=\frac{1-\cos ^{2} \theta}{1+\cos ^{2} \theta} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., degree of polarization $P \neq 0$ !

Thomson-scattered radiation is linearly polarized!
Quadrupole pattern in angle $\theta$ between $\widehat{k}_{\text {init }}$ and $\widehat{n}_{\text {scattered }}$

- $100 \%$ polarized at $\theta=\pi / 2$
- $0 \%$ polarized at $\theta=0, \pi$
classical picture: $e^{-}$as dipole antenna
incident linearly polarized wave accelerates $e^{-}$
$\rightarrow \sin ^{2} \Theta$ pattern, peaks at $\Theta=0$, i.e., $\| \hat{\epsilon}_{\text {init }}$


## Thompson Scattering: A Gut Feeling

Discussion swiped from Wayne Hu's website
Consider a beam of unpolarized radiation propagating in plane of sky, incident on an electron think of as superposition of linear polarizations one along sightline, one in sky


Q: why is scattered radiation polarized?

Q: now what if unpolarized beams from opposite directions?
scattering of one unpolarized beam:

$\rightarrow$ see radiation from $e$ motion in sky plane
$\rightarrow$ linear polarization!
scattering of two unpolarized beams in opposite directions:

$\rightarrow$ the other side only adds to $e$ motion in sky plane
$\rightarrow$ also linear polarization!

Q: what if isotropic initial radiation field?
isotropic initial radiation field:

$e$ motions in $x$ and $y$ sky directions cancel $\rightarrow$ no net polarization

Q: what initial radiation has quadrupole pattern?
i.e., less intense along one axis?

Q: lesson?
if initial radiation field has quadrupole intensity pattern

linear polarization!
lesson: polarization arises from Thomson scattering
when electrons "see" quadrupole anisotropies in radiation field

## Awesomest Example of Thompson Polarization: the CMB

The CMB is nearly isotropic radiation field arises from $\tau=1$ "surface of last scattering" at $z=1000$ when free $e$ and protons "re" combined $e p \rightarrow H$

- before recombination:

Thomson scattering of CMB photons, Universe opaque

- after recombination: no free $e$, Universe transparent
consider electron during last scatterings
sees and anisotropic thermal radiation field
consider point at hot/cold "wall"
locally sees dipole $T$ anisotropy net polarization towards us: zero! $Q$ : why?

Q: what about edge of circular hot spot? cold spot?
polarization tangential (ring) around hot spots radial (spokes) around cold spots (superpose to " + " = zero net polarization-check!)

WWw: WMAP polarization observations of hot and cold spots

Note: polarization \& $T$ anisotropies linked
$\rightarrow$ consistency test for CMB theory and hence hot big bang

## Polarization Observed

First detection: pre-WMAP!

* DASI (2002) ground-based interferometer
at level predicted based on $T$ anisotropies! Woo hoo!

WMAP (2003): first polarization-T correlation function

Planck (March 2013): much more sensitive to polarization maybe a signature of inflation-generated gravitational radiation?

